

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
8/29/05**

AGENDA

- Phase I – Outstanding Items
- Current Deliverables Status/Issues
- Contract Amendments
- Next Meeting

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
8/29/05**

2.1.5.3 Norwalk System Architecture Diagram-Draft

Status:

- Submitted on 1/27/05, currently under review by County. Received County comments on 8/4/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.1.9.3 South Gate System Architecture Diagram-Draft

Status:

- Submitted on 3/2/05. Received County/City comments on 5/11/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal. This document lists hardware that is specified in the Special Provisions.

2.2.9.1 Norwalk LCC Site Report and Layout-Draft

Status:

- Submitted 4/15/05. Received County/City comments on 5/11/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.2.6.1 South Gate LCC Site Report and Layout-Draft

Status:

- Currently underway. Received City Hall and City Yard floor plan on 5/11/05. Received County comments on 6/17/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
8/29/05**

2.1.5.1 Norwalk ATMS SOW and Specification-Draft

Status:

- Submitted on 4/29/05.

Issues:

- Econolite provided budgetary cost proposal on 6/27/05. Review comments and updated controller inventory submitted to Econolite on 7/14/05.
- Econolite provided 2nd budgetary cost proposal on 8/19/05. Initial review comments provided verbally by NET to Econolite.
- Econolite proposal to include a wireless solution for traffic signal and CCTV locations not on fiber.
- Proposal is to include cost options as follows:
 - Traffic signals connected to fiber comm
 - CCTV cameras connected to fiber comm
 - Traffic signals connected to wireless comm.
 - CCTV cameras connected to wireless comm..
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.1.9.1 South Gate Signal System Evaluation Report-Draft

Status:

- Currently underway.

Issues:

- This task is paced with the on-site evaluation that the City is currently doing with Icons and then with Transuite.

2.3.1.1 Summary of Controller Cabinet Recommendations

Status:

- Submitted on 5/29/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.3.1.2 Summary of CCTV Location Recommendations

Status:

- Submitted on 2/9/05, currently under review by County.

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
8/29/05**

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.3.2.1 Field Components PS&E

Status:

- First PS&E Submittal – Version 2.0, submitted 4/25/05. County and City comments received on 8/18/05. (Summary of comments/proposed changes is attached.)

Issues:

- Electronic as-built information has not been obtained for several areas within the project limits, however we have now received at least hard copies for all but 5 CCTV location intersections. These must still be provided by the County and will cause the delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be delayed.
- Electronic utility information has not been obtained for several areas within the project limits. (See attached matrix.) or even hard-copies. These must still be provided by the County and will cause the delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be delayed.
- Telephone service points for 16 intersections (CCTV locations) that are proposed to use DSL communications for video transmission must be located in coordination with telephone company. Four of these locations in Norwalk may be wireless. Depending on the cooperation with the telephone company, this task may cause the delivery of Second PS&E submittal to be delayed.
- Railroad bridge attachment permit and flood control channel bridge permit must be applied for with the responsible agencies.

Task 7.1: Evaluation of Video Distribution System

Status:

- Submitted on 6/18/05, currently under review by County.

Issues:

- Decision should be made whether to provide County-wide solution for implementing video distribution or include as part of each city's traffic signal system.